

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,899	03/31/2004	John Riley Hawkins	101896-662 (DEP5055USNP)	1803
	7590 03/23/2007 CLENNEN & FISH LLP	EXAMINER		
WORLD TRAI	DE CENTER WEST		IZQUIERDO, DAVID A	
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2031011, 1111			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/813,899	HAWKINS ET AL.
Fyaminer	Art Unit

Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing of an Ap	peal Brief				

	David A. Izquierdo	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 02 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL The Netice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS			4				
B. M The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	DW); **	duaina ar aimhlifeina	the issues for				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		cotoa ciairris.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(DTOL-324)				
		Ampliant Amendment	(I I OL-024).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ·	time to filed amonda	ont consoling the				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 12 and 13.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-11</u> .			•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-53</u> .	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hafara or an the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will n	ot he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of deep NOT along the application i	in condition for allows	ance hocause:				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b		in condition for allowa	mice because.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. 🗌 Other:							
CORRINE MCDERMOTT David A. Izquierdo							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 alters the scope of claims and requires that a separate search pertaining to a marker which is embedded in a vertebral body be conducted as opposed to a marker located adjacent the vertebral body, as previously claimed.